



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/307,988	05/10/1999	WILLIAM B. TELFAIR	VISX0011U/US	5573
31518	7590	08/10/2007	EXAMINER	
NEIFELD IP LAW, PC 4813-B EISENHOWER AVENUE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/307-988

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH 37 CFR 1.192(c)

The Appeal Brief filed November 7, 2003 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See 1092 O.G. 33, July 12, 1988 and MPEP §1206.

Applicant is given a time limit of one month from the date of this letter or any time remaining in the period for filing a new complete brief. If a new brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the appeal will be dismissed. No extension of this one month time limit may be obtained under either 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) but the original two-month period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing the brief may be extended up to a maximum of six months from the date of the Notice of Appeal.

1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, and does not identify the appealed claims. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(1). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
3. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of each amendment filed subsequent to the final rejection. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(2). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
4. The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(3). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(4) (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
6. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) (See explanation in box 8 below.)
7. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(6) (See explanation in box 8 below.)
8. Explanation in support of items 1-7 above, if appropriate:

(4) No reference to drawing by figure + reference characters

* Two names appear on signatory page of brief, but only one signature is present

* copies of 1092 O.G. 33, 9/27/2002 and May 23, 2006

[Signature]

DAVID M. SHAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 330